

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,047	07/27/2005	Gabriel Montaldo	28944/40701	2848
	7590 05/11/201 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			FERNANDEZ, KATHERINE L	
6300 WILLIS T CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/517,047	MONTALDO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KATHERINE L. FERNANDEZ	3768			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the other contents. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical section for a list of the priorical se	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/7/2005</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/517,047 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 5-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 2 of claim 1, the phrase "by means of" should be --- by a means of ---.

In line 3 of claim 1, the word "this" should be deleted and the word --- the --- inserted therefor.

In line 6 of claim 1, the phrase "said predetermined wave" should be — said predetermined objective wave --- for consistency purposes.

In line 15 of claim 1, the phrase "the objective wave field" should be --- the predetermined objective wave field --- for consistency purposes.

In line 16 of claim 1, the phrase "this objective wave field" should be --- the predetermined objective wave field --- for consistency purposes.

In line 3 of claim 5, the equation in line 3 does not match the equation found in the specification in pg. 3, lines 29-30. Please make sure the equation in the claim and in the specification are consistent with each other.

Claims 5-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,047 Page 3

Art Unit: 3768

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regards to claim 1, the phrasing of the preamble found in lines 1-11 of the claim does not clearly define the invention. For example, in lines 5-6 of claim 1, the phrase "each transducer i of the first network" implies that the first network comprises a plurality of transducer. However, in lines 2-3, the phrase "a first network comprising at least one transducer" implies that the network may comprise a single transducer. It is suggested that the first network be claimed to comprise a plurality of transducers. A similar argument is made for the claimed second network. Further, the phrasing of the limitations found in lines 3-8 is confusing (i.e. what is determined by transmitting waves in the medium?). Also, with regards to claim 1, in line 14 and in line 15, the phrase "making it possible" and the phrase "much like" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Aubrey et al. (US Patent No. 7,101,337), Fink (US Patent No. 6,198,829), Fink (US Patent No. 5,431,053) and Fink (5,092,336) disclose methods for focusing acoustic waves using time-reversal techniques.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,047 Page 4

Art Unit: 3768

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KATHERINE L. FERNANDEZ whose telephone number is (571)272-1957. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571)272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric F Winakur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768